#### WILLIAM J. AND DOROTHY K.



#### 2Gen Learning Community - Nureva Brainstorming Notes

These notes were transcribed from the content created by grantee partners during the 2Gen Learning Community meeting held on December 6<sup>th</sup>. The following items are ideas and concerns raised by grantee partners for the three main program issues discussed: Implementation, Data, and Evaluation.

### **BIGGEST ISSUES/CONCERNS – IMPLEMENTATION**

#### Partners / Program Design

- Coordinating resources across a neighborhood that meet all 2Gen needs
- Capacity of service provider staff to integrate 2Gen framework
- Providing a full range of services that meet broad range of family
- Buy-in from housing providers to think more holistically about families
- Effectively curating services to meet needs of target population
- Collaborate w/ hospital partners that typically don't collaborate as equals
- Generating additional demand for services with insufficient supply
- Public sector partners not moving as quickly as we'd like
- Ensuring interventions adjust to ongoing qualitative feedback
- More clearly defining 2Gen pediatric care
- Translate 2Gen to align with partner programming to build buy-in
- Crystallizing the definition and set of services that are
- Ensure quality and intensity of services not just broad service delivery

#### Funding

- Payment for 2Gen services
- Funders allowing dollars to serve multiple constituents

# Referrals

- Closing the referral feedback loop between partners
- Ensuring [programs] within the [organization] can coordinate serves for adults and children

### **Outcomes**

- Track meaningful outcomes beyond referrals
- Tracking referral outcomes
- Tracking family referrals and outcomes across service providers

## Family Engagement

- Family priorities vs. holistic 2Gen model
- Supporting family's ability to prioritize their needs and think about goals
- Family engagement in intensive program delivery
- Intensive family engagement beyond a set of "power users"

## Staffing Issues

- Helping staff meaningfully engage parents in culturally humble manner
- Staff salaries given what we're asking staff to do, e.g. deep coaching
- Asking over-burdened direct service staff to take on new work
- Adequate staff salaries given what we're asking staff to do, e.g. intensive
- Training staff/adding more highly-trained staff to serve in new/reimagine

# DATA COLLECTION

Most important questions asking of your data

- Data to capture quantitative and qualitative results
- Are the processes working?
- What needs are parents prioritizing? Do we have right partners in place?
- Are our families' barriers the same as those identified in the [literature] we read
- Data Impact Measurement: 1. Children 2. Adults and 3. Overall Family
- What's most impactful: coaching classes, or just having a community?
- What are the most successful program components and vice versa
- How does parent participation in our program impact child outcomes
- How do we know our families are ready for employment?
- Ability to track long-term impacts on housing stability and econ. Mobility
- What are the drivers behind cascade in services from referral to receiving?

## How do you want to use data to make program changes?

- Data driven targeting of subpopulations
- Ensuring that programs w/in the org can coordinate services for [children and adults]
- Working collaboratively with hospital partners that typically do not [collaborate]
- How do parents benefit from pediatric-based parent services?
- Identifying intermediate outcomes
- Where are the gaps in meeting family needs
- Funders allowing dollars to serve multiple constituents.
- Utilizing qualitative data to show growth not captured quantitatively
- Flexibility to shift metrics based on qualitative data
- Help us troubleshoot program issues and refine program model
- Better retention and engagement from families
- What type of training does our staff need
- Better incorporate family input

# SPECIFIC SUPPORTS FOR EVALUATION

Timing of Evaluation / Sharing Evaluation Results

- Impact and outcomes are long-term, we need time to fully evaluate programs
- Sometimes I wish our evaluation was set for 6 [months]. After our grant ended
- Real-time evaluation and flexibility to change course
- Leveraging interim process evaluation to prepare for outcome [evaluation]
- Necessary to change our [evaluation] design over time- & that's ok
- Best practices for defining and using comparison/control groups
- Balancing rapid iteration with need to stay the course to see results

# **Evaluation Methods**

- A tech solution that enables the integration of info from multiple data set
- How to evaluate the impact our work has on public sector systems
- Balancing intervention specific results [with] desire 4 population level outcome
- Evaluating broader systemic efforts to improve services to families
- Examples of successful metrics for systemic change
- What counts as "good enough" absent [randomized control trial]
- How to evaluate cost-savings of program model to help sustainably fund
- Evaluating neighborhood level impacts of smaller 2Gen program
- Best practices for multi-site evaluation given diff. 2Gen implementation

# Specific Measures of Outcomes (Child vs. Adult)

- Identifying parent outcomes
- Examples of effective evaluation for improvements in attitude, behavior
- Creating a coherent logic/program model for something so complex
- Potential for looking at population level outcomes as well as intervention
- Evaluating social/emotional impacts 2Gen

## <u>Costs</u>

• Cost of evaluation

## Technology

- Identifying most appropriate/persuasive outcomes for parent survey
- Accepted statistical methods, especially small N evaluations